


Understanding Air Toxics Exposure and Health Effects

*Endicott Center Meeting
Dedham, Massachusetts
August 4, 2004*




How do we Assess the Health Effects of Air Toxics?

A General Framework borrowed from the NAS report on PM Research Priorities 2004



1996 Risk Characterization

Distribution of lifetime cancer risk for the US population, based on 1996 exposure* to all sources combined.




* Results are based on inhalation exposure to outdoor sources only. Although these results assume continuous exposure to 1996 levels of air toxics over a lifetime, current and planned control programs are expected to substantially reduce these exposures and associated cancer risk for some pollutants. See additional information on the following page.



1996 Risk Characterization

Distribution of lifetime cancer risk for the US population, based on 1996* exposure to 29 carcinogenic air pollutants from various source sectors



* Results are based on inhalation exposure to outdoor sources only. Although these results assume continuous exposure to 1996 levels of air toxics over a lifetime, current and planned control programs are expected to substantially reduce these exposures and associated cancer risk for some pollutants. See additional information on the following page.

EPA strongly cautions that these modeling results should not be used to draw conclusions about local exposure concentrations or risk. The results are most meaningful when viewed at the State or national level; for smaller areas, the modeling becomes less certain. In addition, these results represent conditions in 1996 rather than current conditions and only include exposures from outdoor sources of air toxics. Because of these limitations, and others described below, EPA recommends that the results be used only for their intended purposes.

- The information presented on this chart represents *risk estimates* that are surrounded by substantial uncertainties from a variety of sources. These risk estimates should not be confused with *measured risks*, such as analyses of the frequency of automobile crashes, which are much more certain.
- The risk estimates are based on 1996 emissions of air toxics. Significant emission reductions have occurred since 1996 and more are expected in the future. EPA estimated lifetime exposures by assuming that 1996 conditions would continue for 70 years. Thus, emission reductions were not accounted for.
- This assessment includes only 32 pollutants from the full list of 188 air toxics included in the Clean Air Act, plus diesel particulate matter. Although EPA believes that these 33 are among the most likely to present important health risks, it is not possible to be certain that every important pollutant has been included.
- The methods used to estimate emissions and the assumptions used in dispersion and exposure modeling may introduce significant uncertainties into the risk estimates. For more details, please see Limitations in the 1996 National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment.
- The risk estimates are limited to inhalation. EPA did not consider oral exposures. In some cases, people may receive substantial additional oral exposures to substances such as mercury and PCBs that bioaccumulate in foods.
- The risk estimates do not include indoor emission sources because appropriate data are not yet available. In some cases, people may receive substantial additional inhalation exposures to common indoor air pollutants such as formaldehyde and perchloroethylene.
- All risk estimates are based on exposure estimates for the median individual within each census tract, which EPA considers to be a “typical” exposure. Some individuals may have substantially higher or lower exposures based on where they spend their time. The model is not designed to quantify these individual extremes.
- EPA has assumed that upper-bound unit risk estimates associated with different carcinogenic substances are additive in the absence of evidence showing otherwise. The true combined risk may be either greater than or less than additive.



This Morning's Session

- Toxicity
 - Rogene Henderson, Lovelace - how do we assess toxicity, the toxicity knowledge we have and need
 - Judy Graham, ACC – efforts to better assess toxicity

BREAK

- Exposure
 - Tim Watkins, EPA - an overview of EPA efforts
 - Cliff Weisel, EOHSI- results from recent studies
 - Tim Buckley, Johns Hopkins - results from recent studies
 - Debra Kaden, HEI - summary of current HEI hot spot studies

